

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/530,143	03/31/2005	Josephus Theodorus Johannes Van Eijndhoven	NL02 0944 US	5258
24738 PHILIPS ELEC	7590 04/30/2007 ECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			WILLHITE, TYLER C	
1109 MCKAY DRIVE, M/S-41SJ SAN JOSE, CA 95131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2189	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	04/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/530,143	VAN EIJNDHOVEN, JOSEPHUS THEODORUS JOHA	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
:	Tyler Willhite	2189	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
		•	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2189

DETAILED ACTION

Ex Parte Quayle

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

objections to the drawings, specification, and claims.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte* Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the unlabeled boxes shown in figure 3 should be provided with descriptive text labels. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of

Application/Control Number: 10/530,143 Page 3

Art Unit: 2189

any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, **apart from any other text**.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: proper headings should be provided for the different sections of the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Application/Control Number: 10/530,143

Art Unit: 2189

(I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Page 4

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The examiner suggests a title that reads as "Cache System using Valid Bits for Cache Line Subsections" or another such descriptive title that incorporate key elements of the invention.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-6 objected to because of the following informalities: inconsistent terminology. Appropriate correction is required.

On line 15 of claim 1, "that" should read as "the auxiliary information".

On line 21 of claim 1, "that line" should read as "the cache line".

On line 23 of claim 1, "a line" should read as "the cache line".

On line 28 of claim 1, "it" should read as "the cache line from the next lower ranked level".

On line 15 of claim 6, "that" should read as "the auxiliary information".

On line 22 of claim 6, "that line" should read as "the cache line".

On line 25 of claim 6, "a line" should read as "the cache line".

On line 30 of claim 6, "it" should read as "the cache line from the next lower ranked level".

Claims 2-5 are subject to objection as dependent claims incorporate the claim language of parent claims, and claims 2-5 dependent from claim 1 which contains claim language that is subject to objection.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-6 are allowable over prior art.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: A search of the prior art revealed Arimilli et al. (US PGPub. 2002/0087791) which teaches assigning separate coherency states to a cache line and the sectors that make up a cache line in a level 2 cache wherein upon a load of a cache line in a level 2 cache, other caches snoop the transaction and write the level 2 cache with any modified or exclusive sectors held in the snooping caches of the cache line being loaded such that a load from main memory can be avoided if all the sectors of a cache line being loaded are resident in the snooping caches. However, Arimilli et al. do not show a higher level cache providing sectors of a cache line to a lower level cache as seen in the claim limitations of the independent claims of the instant application nor is there motivation to combine the sector coherency operations of Arimilli et al. with writing back from a level 1 to a level 2 cache.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2189

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Solomon et al. (US Pat. 6,751,705) disclose a cache system wherein the cache coherency information of a higher level cache is maintained for a finer granularity of data than the cache coherency information of a lower level cache, and a cache line is first retrieved from main memory into the lower level cache when a partial cache line is written from the higher level cache into the lower level cache.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tyler Willhite whose telephone number is 571-270-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 5pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald Bragdon can be reached on 571-272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TW

April 23, 2007

Tyler Willhite Examiner Art Unit 2189

REGINALD BRAGDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100